Soil acidification, or a decrease in soil pH, is a natural process that is accelerated by crop production practices, primarily the use of nitrogen (N) fertilizers such as urea, ammonium sulfate, or other fertilizers containing ammonium-N.

As soil acidification occurs, soil chemical and biological properties change. One chemical change is increased solubility of aluminum (Al) and manganese (Mn), both of which can be toxic to plants. Plants vary in their tolerance of Al and Mn, creating crop-specific soil pH requirements. Adding lime (Figure 1) increases soil pH (reduces acidity), adds calcium (Ca) and/or magnesium (Mg), and reduces the solubility of Al and Mn in the soil.

**Soil pH management**

The first step is to determine the soil pH required for your crop. The pH at which yield is diminished varies among crops. Table 1 provides minimum soil pH recommendations for western Oregon crops. Crop-specific guidance is provided in Oregon State University (OSU) and Pacific Northwest (PNW) Extension nutrient management guides. Other publications in this series (Soil Acidity in Oregon and

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crop</th>
<th>Minimum pH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alfalfa</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beans and cucurbits</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blueberries, rhododendrons, and azaleas</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cereals or small grains(^b)</td>
<td>5.5–5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christmas trees</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corn</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grain or silage</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweet corn</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forage and seed legumes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crimson/subterranean clovers, vetch(^b)</td>
<td>5.5–6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red clover for forage or seed</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White clover for forage or seed</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garlic</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hops</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pasture, seed, and turf grass(^b)</td>
<td>5.5–5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peppermint</td>
<td>5.6–6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shade, ornamental, fruit, and nut trees(^b)(^c)</td>
<td>5.5–5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetables, brassica (broccoli, etc.)</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetables, assorted for small acreage</td>
<td>6.5(^d)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)When soil pH is below the minimum value, crop yields may be reduced.

\(^b\)Range is given, as specific minimum pH values vary among crop species.

\(^c\)Soil pH for red maple should not exceed 6.0.

\(^d\)Use this value when a small area with a variety of vegetables is produced, especially when growing garlic, onions, spinach, radish, brassicas, and greens such as lettuce and arugula.
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Publication summary

This publication describes how to estimate lime application rate and lists criteria for choosing liming materials (source), lime application method (placement), and how often to apply lime (frequency).

**Lime application rate** is determined using the lime requirement test (SMP buffer method). For established perennial or no-till crops, a top-dress lime application (1 to 2 t/a) may be beneficial. When very different soils are present within a field, variable-rate lime application is usually advantageous.

**Liming materials** vary in effectiveness. The carbonate in traditional aglime (calcium or magnesium carbonate) reacts with soil acidity to neutralize it.

Liming materials have very limited movement into the soil without incorporation. Tillage increases effectiveness of all lime materials by mixing them into the rooting zone.

Evaluate liming materials based on effectiveness (lime score) and cost. Calculate product cost per ton of 100-score lime.

By-product lime products can be a cost-effective substitute for traditional aglime. Their characteristics should be evaluated carefully. For certified organic crops, use only lime approved by your certification agency.

**Lime application method (placement)** takes two forms. Lime is either applied and left on the soil surface or incorporated. In the absence of tillage, soil pH increases only in the top inch or 2 of soil since lime’s limited solubility means that the liming material must contact acidic soil before it will react and change soil pH.

**Frequency of lime application** is determined primarily by cation exchange capacity (CEC) and crop management practices, especially N fertilizer rate. Soil pH declines faster in sandy (low CEC) soils than in soil with moderate to high clay content. The typical rate of pH decline is approximately 0.1 pH unit per year when 100 lb ammonium N/a is applied.

For annual crop rotations, apply lime about a year before planting the crop that is most sensitive to soil acidity. For perennial crops, soil test and apply lime prior to tillage for crop establishment.

Related publications

This publication is the first in a three-part series. We recommend you use them in combination.

**Soil Acidity in Oregon**, EM 9061 (in press)
- Discusses why soil pH management is critical to long-term soil productivity.
- Explains the mechanisms whereby acidity injures crops.
- Gives historical perspective of what is known about soil acidity problems in Oregon soils and crops.
- Explains how to use soil and plant tissue testing to diagnose soil acidity problems.

**Eastern Oregon Liming Guide**, EM 9060
- Provides recommendations for lime application for dryland and irrigated cropping systems in eastern Oregon.

![Figure 2.—Lime application in Marion County, OR, 2012. Although application equipment has changed over the decades, techniques have not, and liming remains an important tool for maintaining crop yield.](image)
Eastern Oregon Liming Guide) also provide target soil pH values for many Oregon crops.

Next, use soil testing to monitor soil pH and determine whether lime application is needed to achieve your target pH. Collect soil samples at the same time each year to minimize seasonal variation. For annual crops established with tillage, monitor soil pH in the 0- to 6-inch or 0- to 8-inch depth (also the recommended depth for other routine soil analyses).

In annual crops established without tillage, and in perennial crops, soil acidity usually is greatest (pH is lowest) near the soil surface. Therefore, a separate soil sample taken from the 0- to 2-inch depth can help in estimating lime need. See OSU Extension publication EM 9014, Evaluating Soil Nutrients and pH by Depth in Situations of Limited or No Tillage in Western Oregon, for soil sampling recommendations for perennial or no-till cropping systems.

**Raising soil pH with lime**

Soil acidification is reversed by adding a liming material. Liming materials are oxides, hydroxides, carbonates, and silicates of Ca and/or Mg. The anion in liming materials (chemically speaking, a “base”) reacts with soil acidity (H) to neutralize it (Figure 3). The most common liming material, “aglime,” supplies carbonate as the base.

Calcium alone does not increase soil pH. For example, gypsum (calcium sulfate) and other additives contain Ca but do not contain a basic anion (carbonate, hydroxide, oxide, or silicate). Therefore, they do not neutralize soil acidity.

---

**Terms used in this publication**

**Ion**—a molecule in which the total number of electrons is not equal to the total number of protons, giving it a net charge

**Cation**—a positively charged ion

**Anion**—a negatively charged ion

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>nitrogen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ammoniacal N</td>
<td>NH₄⁺-N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al</td>
<td>aluminum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn</td>
<td>manganese</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>calcium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>hydrogen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg</td>
<td>magnesium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>potassium</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The cations, Al⁺³, Mn⁺², Ca⁺², Mg⁺², H⁺, and K⁺ are used in this publication without charge designations except when used in chemical reactions.

**CEC**—cation exchange capacity, the sum of cations electrostatically attracted to 100 grams of soil expressed in milliequivalents (meq)

**Equivalent**—amount of a substance that will react with 1 gram of hydrogen

**Milliequivalent (meq)**—1/1,000 of an equivalent

**CCE**—calcium carbonate equivalent

**Buffer**—material that is resistant to pH change

**Slaked lime**—calcium oxide that has been mixed with water, creating calcium hydroxide

**Prilled or pelleted lime**—finely ground agricultural lime that has been mixed into a slurry with a water-soluble binding agent and pelletized

---

**Figure 3.**—Soil acidity reacts with lime to form water and carbon dioxide. The carbon dioxide gas is lost to the atmosphere. This chemical reaction continues until all of the lime has reacted. Figure by Dan Sullivan.
Liming decisions

Management decisions related to liming can be grouped into four categories:

- **Lime application rate**—how much lime is needed to neutralize soil acidity?
- **Liming materials**—what source of liming material should be used?
- **Application method**—how should lime be applied?
- **Frequency of application**—how often should lime be applied?

These decisions are discussed separately in this publication, but keep in mind that they often are interrelated.

Lime application rate

The amount of lime needed is estimated by a lime requirement test using the SMP buffer (see information below). Other lime requirement tests are offered by soil testing laboratories, but only the SMP test results have been validated by OSU Extension research for use with lime recommendation tables and figures in this publication.

As with most soil tests, the value reported using the SMP buffer is only an index; it means nothing by itself. To interpret the SMP test for lime requirement, use either Figure 5 or Table 3 (page 6). Figure 5 and Table 3 are appropriate for only a limited range of target soil pH values. Consult crop-specific OSU Extension guides for additional lime rate information.

continues on page 6
The amount of lime needed to increase soil pH varies with cation exchange capacity (CEC), which is a measure of cations attracted to soil particles. Soil CEC is related to a combination of factors, including soil texture, type of clay present, and soil organic matter content. As clay and organic matter content increase, CEC increases. In turn, the amount of hydrogen ions (H) that need to be neutralized by lime also increases. Thus, variation in CEC provides the basis for variable lime application rates.

The SMP lime requirement test was developed so that a single test can be used to make lime recommendations for soils that differ substantially in CEC.

An example of the typical difference found in lime requirement for a sandy loam soil with 1 percent organic matter (Newberg series; CEC = 15 meq/100 g) and a clay soil (Bashaw series; CEC = 35 meq/100 g) is shown in Figure 4. To increase pH by 1 unit, a lime application of 2 t/a is needed for Newberg soil, and a lime application of 4 t/a is needed for Bashaw soil.

Table 2 lists typical lime rates needed to increase soil pH by 1 unit for soil series found in western Oregon. A soil that requires 2 t lime/unit pH change is said to have a low resistance to pH change, or a low soil pH buffering capacity. An example is the Newberg fine sandy loam soil. Soils that require 4 to 5 t lime/unit pH change have high resistance to pH change, or high buffering capacity. Examples are clay loam, silty clay loam, or clay soils such as Amity, Nekia, Jory, and Bashaw.

Table 2.—Soil resistance to pH change (soil pH buffering capacity).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Soil series</th>
<th>Lime application needed for 1-unit pH increase (t/a)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Newberg</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Woodburn, Chehalis,</td>
<td>2.6–2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Willakenzie</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malabon, Dayton, Powell</td>
<td>3.2–3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steiwar, Laurelwood,</td>
<td>3.6–3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cascade</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sauvie, Amity</td>
<td>4.0–4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bashaw, McBee, Nekia</td>
<td>4.4–4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jory</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salem</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Table 2 is not intended to replace the SMP buffer test and should not be used to make lime rate recommendations. It is intended only to illustrate lime rate differences related to different organic matter and clay content (CEC) of various soil types. Table by John Hart. Data from Peterson, 1971.

When soils in the same field differ greatly in texture and/or organic matter, they will have different liming requirements. Soil sampling in zones within the field can be used to develop a plan for variable-rate liming.

Methods and tools for estimating soil textural class and sampling by zones include Natural Resources Conservation Service soil maps, grid sampling, and soil electrical conductivity mapping. Soil sampling methods that can be adapted for variable-rate liming are discussed in publication PNW 570, Monitoring Soil Nutrients Using a Management Unit Approach.
The first step in using either Table 3 or Figure 5 is to decide the soil pH best for your cropping system (see Table 1, page 1). This pH is your “desired” or “target” soil pH. Figure 5 can be used only if your target soil pH is 6.4. Table 3 lists lime application rates needed to reach a target or desired soil pH of 5.6, 6.0, or 6.4. For acid-loving plants such as blueberry, azalea, or red maple, apply a single application of no more than 2 t lime/a, even if the SMP test indicates a greater lime need.

**Using Table 3 to interpret the SMP test**

Table 3 gives a recommendation for lime application (t/a) based on the soil test value you obtain from an analytical laboratory. This table is valid only when the laboratory uses the SMP lime requirement test. Other lime requirement tests have different calibration and use a different interpretive table. An example of how to use Table 3 follows.

**Situation:** A soil sample is collected from the 0- to 6-inch depth. The current soil pH (measured in water) is 5.0. You want to increase soil pH from 5.0 (current value) to 5.6 (desired or target value).

**Step 1.** The lab analyzes the soil sample. It reports a lime requirement test (SMP) value of 6.0.

**Step 2.** Find the SMP test value in the left column (blue text). For this example, find “6.0.”

**Step 3.** Find the appropriate column for “Desired soil pH.” In this example, the “pH 5.6” column represents the desired or target pH for your field.

**Step 4.** Read “Lime to apply” (t/a) from the appropriate row and column in the table. In this example, “Lime to apply” equals 1.7 ton of 100-score lime per acre. An explanation of lime score and its use is provided on pages 9–10.

**Adjusting lime recommendations to account for tillage depth**

Rates of lime recommended in Table 3 are based on a 6-inch depth of lime incorporation with tillage. If you plan shallow or no incorporation, such as in perennial pastures or other situations with no tillage, apply 1 to 2 t lime/a when the soil pH is below the desired level or the recommended pH for the crop. Rates higher than 2 t/a are not warranted for topdress application because the applied lime will affect pH only in the top 1 to 2 inches of soil.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lime requirement test value (SMP)</th>
<th>Lime to apply to attain desired soil pHa (t/a)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>7.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>8.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 5—Lime requirement test (SMP) interpretation.** Use this figure to determine the amount of lime to apply to reach a target soil pH of 6.4. **Step 1.** Find your SMP test value on the x-axis. **Step 2.** Read the recommended liming rate (100-score aglime) from either y-axis. The left y-axis gives lime need in units of t/a. The right y-axis gives lime need in units of lb/a. An explanation of lime score and its use is provided on pages 9–10 of this publication. If your target or desired soil pH is not 6.4, use Table 3 to interpret your SMP test results. Figure by Dan Sullivan.
Liming materials

After selecting a lime rate, the next decision is to choose a lime material (Table 4). For most situations, choose a product that gives the most liming value (determined by lime score) per dollar (see page 10). Other factors to consider include product availability, need for Mg fertilization, desired speed of acid neutralization, and ease of application.

Liming materials are available as a powdery ground material, granule or prill, or fluid. Traditional agricultural lime (aglime, lime, ground limestone, or calcitic lime) is a finely ground material that passes through a 40-mesh sieve. It is primarily calcium carbonate.

Another mined and ground material is dolomite (dolomitic lime). In addition to calcium carbonate, it also contains magnesium carbonate. It is a common liming material for acidic soil deficient in Mg.

Calcium hydroxides and oxides (quick lime and burnt lime) are manufactured from carbonate lime. They react with acidity more rapidly than limestone, changing soil pH in days rather than weeks. Drawbacks of these products include cost and difficulty in handling and application due to their caustic nature. Their rapid reaction time also creates the potential to raise pH above the maximum value for the crop.

Granular, prilled, or pelleted lime (Figure 6) is finely ground lime “glued” with lignosulfonate or bentonite clay, materials that slake or allow the prill to fall apart in water (soil moisture, rain, or irrigation). This material is more expensive than traditional powdered lime but provides relatively dust-free application. It is commonly used for turf and landscapes. Soil pH change may be slower for pelleted material compared to powdered lime of the same score until slaking and mixing occur.

Fluid lime, sometimes called “liquid lime,” is a suspension of very fine particles, 100-mesh or finer, mixed with water. This material has very limited solubility; for the most part, it is simply suspended in water (see page 8 for more information).

By-product lime in Oregon usually is carbonate lime with impurities. By-product lime sources include paper mills, sugar beet processors, and seafood processors. Coastal areas with fisheries sometimes supply shells from shrimp and crab as liming materials (see Appendix A, page 16).

Table 4.—Typical characteristics of liming materials available in Oregon (dry weight basis).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Calcium carbonate equivalent (CCE) (%)</th>
<th>Lime score</th>
<th>Ca (%)</th>
<th>Mg (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Common mined products</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limestone (CaCO₃)</td>
<td>90–100</td>
<td>90–100</td>
<td>32–39</td>
<td>below 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dolomite (CaCO₃ + MgCO₃)</td>
<td>95–110</td>
<td>95–110</td>
<td>18–23</td>
<td>8–12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Specialty oxides and hydroxides</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrated lime [Ca(OH)₂]</td>
<td>120–135</td>
<td>120–135</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>below 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burnt lime or calcium oxide (CaO)</td>
<td>150–175</td>
<td>150–175</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>By-products</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sugar beet lime</td>
<td>70–75</td>
<td>40–50</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>below 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paper mill lime</td>
<td>10–100</td>
<td>0–70</td>
<td>10–40</td>
<td>below 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cement plant flue dust</td>
<td>110–120</td>
<td>105–115</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1–2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shrimp and crab waste</td>
<td>30–40</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>15–20</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA lime (controlled atmosphere storage)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>50–75</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wood ash</td>
<td>2–30</td>
<td>2–20</td>
<td>1–9</td>
<td>below 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Shrimp and crab waste CCE is expressed on a dry weight basis. Typical “as-is” moisture is 65 to 75 percent. Application rate of these by-products is limited by N. A dry ton of shrimp or crab by-product contains about 90 lb N.
Fluid lime products

Fluid (liquid) lime products are marketed as alternatives to aglime. The difference between aglime (60- to 100-mesh) and fluid lime is that fluid lime particles are smaller. Cost per ton of material may be similar (e.g., $60/ton), but because of lower lime score (lime score = 60), the neutralizing power of a ton of fluid lime is about half that of a ton of aglime (lime score = 100).

Unlike other liquid fertilizer products such as UAN-32, which are water soluble, fluid lime is a suspension of fine aglime particles. Thus, unlike a soluble N fertilizer, fluid lime particles have very little ability to move into soil. Instead, they mostly stay where they were applied, usually on the soil surface.

The best use of fluid lime is pH maintenance for perennial crops where low rates of lime are needed or where traditional application equipment is difficult to use.

Description
- Finely ground carbonates that can be applied as a slurry
- Chemically identical to aglime
- Typical lime score of 60 because of water addition

Utility
- Can be applied evenly at low application rate (less than 1 t/a).
- Useful when very rapid soil pH increase is needed near the soil surface (i.e., the rooting zone).
- Can be applied more easily than aglime in nonconventional situations (e.g., orchards).

Limitations
- The cost per pound of fluid lime (based on 100-score liming material) is higher than that of aglime.
- More frequent applications are needed to maintain soil pH at the desired value.
- Fluid lime will not move into the soil with water because it is a suspension, not a solution.

Aglime vs. gypsum

Both gypsum (CaSO$_4$) and lime (CaCO$_3$) contain Ca; therefore, both materials add Ca to the soil. Both materials can reduce the toxic properties of soil Al. Unlike lime, however, gypsum does not neutralize soil acidity or change soil pH.

Gypsum is about 100 times more soluble than lime (Table 5). The greater solubility of gypsum allows it to move into the subsoil over the course of several years. Field trials on acidic soils with low CEC demonstrated that gypsum ameliorates the effects of subsoil acidity (e.g., reduces Al toxicity) when applied at high rates, usually 8 to 10 t/a.

Most of these studies have been conducted on highly weathered (low CEC) soils in the southeastern United States, Hawaii, and other warm or tropical climates. Treating subsoil Al toxicity with gypsum has not been evaluated in Oregon because subsoil acidity has not been a widespread limitation for crop production here.

Table 5.—Characteristics of limestone (aglime) and gypsum (calcium sulfate).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Limestone (aglime)</th>
<th>Gypsum (calcium sulfate)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chemical formula</td>
<td>CaCO$_3$</td>
<td>CaSO$_4$ · 2H$_2$O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium (% Ca)</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium carbonate equivalent (CCE)</td>
<td>90–100</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lime score</td>
<td>90–100</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cold water solubility (g/L)</td>
<td>below 0.02</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Lime score

Lime score is used to adjust lime application rate based on the acid-neutralizing potential of a particular product (see page 7). Lime recommendations in this and other OSU Extension publications are for 100-score lime. As lime score decreases, lime application rate must increase to obtain the same neutralizing potential as an application of 100-score material.

The quality of liming material is expressed as lime score and is defined in Oregon Administrative Rules (OAR) 603-059-0025. Lime score is a number between 0 and 125+ that combines the chemical composition (neutralizing value) or calcium carbonate equivalent (CCE), moisture (mf), and fineness or particle size (ff).

Calcium carbonate equivalent (CCE). Effectiveness of liming materials is expressed relative to pure, dry calcium carbonate (lime score = 100). Because some liming materials are more effective than calcium carbonate per unit dry weight, they may have a lime score greater than 100. Table 4 (page 7) gives CCE for common liming materials found in Oregon.

Moisture factor (mf). This factor is a correction for the amount of water in a liming material. Calcitic and dolomitic liming materials stored in a covered area usually contain less than 5 percent moisture. Some by-product materials may contain more than 20 percent moisture.

Fineness factor (ff). Fineness of a liming material is a factor governing the rate of reaction or soil pH increase. Liming materials have very low water solubility. The neutralizing reaction occurs when the surface of lime particles contacts soil and water. As the surface area of a liming material increases, so does the potential for a neutralizing reaction to occur. Fine material has a large surface area, so its rate of reaction with soil acidity is higher than that of a coarser material. Particle size determination is made for products registered by the Oregon Department of Agriculture (ODA). Coarse particles reduce lime score. (See Appendix B, page 19, for ODA contact information.)

Fineness of a liming material is measured by the amount of material passing through mesh screens or sieves. The screen mesh or sieve size is the number of wires in a 1-inch length of screen. The larger the number of the mesh or sieve size, the more wires per inch, resulting in smaller holes. For example, a 20-mesh screen contains 400 openings per square inch, and the openings are 0.03 inch on a side. A 60-mesh screen contains 900 openings per square inch, and the openings are 0.0098 inch on a side.

Figure 7 shows how grinding limestone affects the rate of reaction with soil acidity. When rapid pH change is needed, very fine lime (100-mesh) can change pH in a few weeks or months. The reactivity
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When choosing lime products for certified organic farming, consult with your organic certification agency to confirm National Organic Program (NOP) compliance. Mined agricultural limestone, dolomitic lime, oyster shell lime, and other nonsynthetic liming materials generally are allowed under NOP certification. To be an effective liming material, oyster shells must be ground to the same size as traditional mined calcitic or dolomitic limestone.

Hydrated lime or slaked lime is considered a “synthetic” liming material and is prohibited under NOP certification.
rate does not increase greatly for particle sizes smaller than 100-mesh. Many particles present in aglime are about 40- to 50-mesh. These particles require about a year for complete reaction, but a measurable change in soil pH usually occurs within a few months of application. Coarse particles (8- to 20-mesh) react very slowly.

A mix of particle size is desirable in liming materials. While fine particles are useful for rapidly increasing soil pH, coarser particles react for a year or more to maintain soil pH.

**Calculating lime score**

Lime score is calculated by multiplying these three factors as shown below.

\[
\text{Lime score} = CCE \times mf \times ff
\]

where:

- \(CCE\) = calcium carbonate equivalent (pure calcium carbonate = 100)
- \(mf\) = moisture factor (0 to 1; dry lime = 1)
- \(ff\) = particle size fineness factor (0 to 1; based on screening lime to determine particle size distribution)

**Example:** A liming material has the following analysis:

- Calcium carbonate equivalent (\(CCE\)) = 92
- Moisture factor (\(mf\)) = 0.85
- Fineness factor (\(ff\)) = 0.88

This material has a lime score of 69, calculated as:

\[
\text{Lime score} = CCE \times mf \times ff = 92 \times 0.85 \times 0.88 = 69
\]

**Using lime score to adjust application rates and compare costs**

The lime score of a liming material is used to determine application rate, given a target application rate of 100-score lime.

**Example:** A paper mill lime product has lime score of 70. To deliver the equivalent of 1 t/a of 100-score lime, 1.4 t/a of paper mill lime is needed, as shown below:

\[
\text{Liming product rate needed:} = \frac{(\text{Desired rate of 100-score lime}) \times 100}{\text{(product lime score)}} = \frac{1 \times 100}{70} = 1.4 \text{ t paper mill lime/a}
\]

Application cost for products can be compared using lime score.

**Example:** An application rate of 1 t/a of 100-score lime is needed. We use the per-ton cost and the application rate to compare the per-acre cost of two materials: 100-score aglime (at a cost of $65/t) and a 70-score by-product material (at a cost of $40/t).

- **Cost of material for 100-score lime (1 t/a):**
  - \(= \text{rate} \times \text{cost/t}\)
  - \(= 1 \text{ t/a of 100-score lime} \times \$65/\text{t}\)
  - \(= \$65/\text{a}\)

- **Cost of material for 70-score lime (to supply equivalent of 1 t/a of 100-score lime):**
  - \(= \text{rate} \times \text{cost/t}\)
  - \(= 1.4 \text{ t/a of 70-score lime} \times \$40/\text{t}\)
  - \(= \$56/\text{a}\)

**Method of lime application**

Regardless of whether it is powdered, prilled, or fluid, lime is usually applied to the soil surface and either left on the surface or incorporated. In the absence of tillage, soil pH increases only in the top inch or 2 of soil since the limited solubility of lime means that the liming material must contact acidic soil before it will react and change soil pH.

**Top-dressing**

Several studies from western Oregon have illustrated the lack of soil pH change beyond the soil surface when lime is top-dressed (Figure 8). Figure 9 (page 11) shows the average pH change when 1 to 1.5 t lime/a was applied to the surface of seven western Oregon perennial grass seed fields. Fifteen months after lime was applied, the soil was sampled.
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to 4 inches. Lime increased soil pH only to a depth of one-half inch.

Top-dressing lime can be beneficial even though pH is increased only in the surface inch or 2 of soil. In many perennial cropping systems, soil pH declines in the surface 2 to 3 inches of soil after N fertilizer is applied. The typical rate of pH decline is approximately 0.1 pH unit per year when 100 lb ammonium N/a is applied. One or 2 t lime/a top-dressed will increase soil pH in the surface inch of soil. The top-dressed lime helps maintain soil pH in the area where nitrification of ammoniacal N occurs. (See “Top-dress lime for forage production in western Oregon,” page 12, for more information.)

**Incorporation**

To reduce soil acidity below 2 inches, mixing is required (Figure 10). Mixing with a disk is not as thorough as with a rototiller. Table 6 shows the results of two mixing treatments made after a 6.5 t lime/a application to a Nekia soil. Incorporation or mixing of lime with a disk resulted in an increase in soil pH to a depth of 4 inches, but primarily in the surface 2 inches. In contrast, mixing lime with a rototiller resulted in a somewhat uniform soil pH to a depth of 6 inches.

**Banding low rates of lime with seed**

Some growers of annual ryegrass seed band granular lime at planting, especially on leased ground where they are unsure of having sufficient time to obtain a return on an investment in conventional agricultural lime (Figure 14, page 13). While granular lime is 4 to 5 times more expensive than agricultural lime, the product is used at a rate of 100 to 150 lb/a and therefore costs 10 to 15 percent of a conventional 2 to 3 t/a agricultural lime application.

A low rate of banded granular lime, such as 150 lb/a, is insufficient to increase soil pH or soil Ca levels throughout the root zone. Granular lime is placed with the seed at planting to neutralize acidity in the germination zone, improve seedling growth and establishment, and ultimately help maintain seed yields on low-pH soils. Limited OSU field research shows that this practice improves yield and is a management option for field crops. See publication EM 8854, *Annual Ryegrass Grown for Seed (Western Oregon) Nutrient Management Guide*, for more information.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Soil depth (inches)</th>
<th>Incorporation method</th>
<th>Soil pH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0–2</td>
<td>Disk</td>
<td>6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2–4</td>
<td>Rototill</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4–6</td>
<td>Disk</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 9.—Average soil pH change with depth in 7 perennial grass seed fields 15 months after top-dressing lime. Figure by John Hart. Data from Mellbye, 1992.

Figure 10.—Lime incorporation.

Table 6.—Soil pH with depth from the application of 6.5 t lime/a to a Nekia soil.a

---

*Initial soil pH was 5.3, and SMP buffer pH was 5.6. Lime was incorporated by disk or rototilling. Table by John Hart. Adapted from Doerge and Gardner, 1985a.*

continues on page 13
Producers often question the effectiveness of top-dress lime on pastures. A top-dress lime application for established pastures is a prudent investment when suitable forage species are present (Figure 11). Species such as tall fescue, orchardgrass, perennial ryegrass, and clover benefit from a top-dress lime application. Pastures that consist primarily of bentgrass, velvetgrass, and similar less productive species will not increase forage yield or quality after top-dressing with lime.

Unlike fertilizer, especially N, lime is applied to maintain adequate soil pH and optimal yield rather than to increase yield. Even so, increased forage yields from top-dressed lime applications have been measured in western Oregon when the soil pH is below the crop threshold.

For example, a nonirrigated orchardgrass–bentgrass pasture in Tillamook County received top-dress lime applications at rates of 0, 1, and 2 t/a in the fall. The surface soil pH was 5.2, and the recommended minimum soil pH for an orchardgrass pasture is 5.8. No yield increase was measured the first spring after application. However, the second spring after lime was top-dressed, annual forage yield increased 1,000 lb/a with the 2 t/a top-dressed lime treatment compared to no lime treatment (Figure 12).

Yield increase can be substantial when the forage contains a legume and suitable grass species. For example, a nonirrigated orchardgrass–clover pasture in Lane County received top-dress lime applications of 0, 1, and 2 t/a in the fall. Similar to the pasture in Tillamook County, no yield increase was measured the following spring. However, the second spring after top-dressing, annual forage yield increased 3,000 lb/a where 2 t lime/a was top-dressed compared to the treatment receiving no lime (Figure 13).

Top-dress lime rates are usually 1 to 2 t/a. They should not exceed 2 t/a. Apply lime while soils are dry, such as early to mid-fall. Before lime application, the forage in the pasture should be grazed or mowed down to a height of 3 inches. Once the lime is applied, remove livestock from the pasture for the remainder of the fall and winter.
The concept of improved crop growth in acidic soils with a band application is supported by an Oregon growth chamber study (Kauffman and Gardner, 1978). In that study, lime mixed with 30 or 100 percent of total soil volume produced equivalent wheat yield.

Although banding of lime can improve yield, it is not recommended as a routine practice. Conventionally incorporated lime applications, while more expensive, provide greater assurance of increasing soil pH and improving seed yields on strongly acidic western Oregon soils.

**Frequency of lime application**

Frequency of lime application is a function of crop need and soil pH. Several factors work in concert to determine soil pH change after lime application. They include fineness of lime material, application rate, degree of mixing, soil pH, N rate, irrigation water amount and quality, residue removal, and crop rotation. Of these factors, N rate is the simplest predictor of soil pH decline, and it can be used to estimate when a lime application might be needed.

As previously stated, soil pH declines slightly less than 0.1 unit with the addition of each 100 lb N/a as urea or another ammoniacal N source on most western Oregon soils. Nitrogen is applied to wheat and grass seed at 100 to 150 lb/a. Within 3 to 5 years, these N rates will decrease soil pH 0.4 to 0.5 unit in the top 2 to 3 inches of soil.

Mixing is not always mechanical

Top-dressed lime application and soil pH change were measured in a hazelnut orchard on Laurelwood soil where no tillage occurs. Soil pH and depth are noted in Figure 15. Where lime was applied, it was top-dressed at 4 t/a.

Soil pH to a depth of 4 inches increased by more than a unit when lime was applied. The undisturbed soil, leaf litter, and shade provided an excellent environment for earthworms. Openings of many earthworm burrows were observed on the orchard floor. Earthworm activity mixed lime into the soil. Below a depth of 8 inches, however, soil pH was raised only a few tenths of a unit.

Even though this example shows that earthworms can mix lime with soil, their ability to do so is not predictable. In an investigation of top-dressing lime on pastures (Rogers, 1995), top-dressed lime was mixed with the surface 3 to 4 inches of soil, presumably by earthworms, in two of four western Oregon pastures. No indicator or predictor for mixing was found. When lime is top-dressed, don't expect earthworms to incorporate it.
In sandy soils with a low CEC, such as the Madras series from central Oregon, the rate of soil pH decline is about double that for surface soil from western Oregon—0.2 unit/100 lb N/a. (See “Soil CEC and liming,” page 5.)

For annual crop rotations, apply lime about a year before planting the crop that is most sensitive to soil acidity. Evaluate lime need regularly, especially when a rotation includes crops that are tolerant of acidic soil (wheat and grass seed) followed by crops that are more sensitive to soil acidity (cauliflower, spinach, red clover, and garlic).

For more information

The following OSU Extension publications are available online at: http://extension.oregonstate.edu/catalog/

Annual Ryegrass Grown for Seed (Western Oregon) Nutrient Management Guide, EM 8854

Christmas Tree Nutrient Management Guide, Western Oregon and Washington, EM 8856

Eastern Oregon Liming Guide, EM 9060

Evaluating Soil Nutrients and pH by Depth in Situations of Limited or No Tillage in Western Oregon, EM 9014

Monitoring Soil Nutrients Using a Management Unit Approach, PNW 570

Soft White Winter Wheat (Western Oregon) Nutrient Management Guide, EM 8963
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Appendix A. By-product Liming Materials: Are They a Good Fit to Your Needs?

What are by-products?

By-product lime products are derived from industrial processes such as paper manufacturing, refining sugar beets, or processing shrimp and crab. By-product lime products may be sold and applied by agrichemical (fertilizer) dealers, or they may be managed by a third party land application contractor who works for the by-product generator (factory or mill).

Compared to other lime products, particle size in by-product lime may not be as important in determining effectiveness as a liming material. Most by-products are chemical precipitates from industrial processes (Figure 16). They are not rock (limestone). Large by-product particles usually “melt” rapidly in soil after application. By-product lime occasionally reacts with soil acidity faster than traditional aglime.

By-products can vary in quality, even among a class of materials (e.g., wood ash). Calcium carbonate equivalent (CCE) for by-products can vary from near 10 to near 100 percent. Each by-product is a “package” with a unique set of chemical and physical characteristics. Most, if not all, by-product lime products are not eligible for use on fields certified as compliant with the USDA National Organic Program.

How are they regulated?

By-products are sold to farmers as a lime material registered by the Oregon Department of Agriculture (ODA), or they can be distributed directly by a factory or mill under Oregon Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) land application permit.

By-product lime registered by ODA is subject to the same ODA regulations for lime score as any other lime product. ODA registration ensures a minimum lime score, and trace element concentrations in the product must not exceed ODA standards for arsenic (As), cadmium (Cd), mercury (Hg), lead (Pb), and nickel (Ni).

By-products applied under a DEQ land application permit require environmental testing (total metals and other contaminants), but a minimum lime score is not guaranteed. Obtaining frequent lime score analyses within a season and between by-product “batches” is strongly recommended.

ODA registration or DEQ approval of a by-product lime material typically does not require analysis for other elements that may have agronomic value, such as potassium (K), N, or constituents that may be important to crop/soil management, such as boron (B), organic matter (OM), carbon (C), or carbon-to-nitrogen (C:N) ratio. By-product vendors should provide these analyses upon request.

What do I need to know about by-product lime?

Ask the following questions about any by-product lime material.

Liming value

- What is the cost of the by-product lime in terms of CCE or lime score equivalence?

Product quality

- Does the by-product contain other plant nutrients that might provide benefit to your soils (e.g., K) or might be injurious at high rates (e.g., B)?
- Has the by-product been used successfully locally on the kind of cropland (soil, crop rotation) that you have? Are there any reports of crop injury resulting from by-product use?
Logistics

- How much flexibility will you have in the timing of by-product application?
- What is the minimum application rate for the by-product, using available equipment, and how much 100-score lime is supplied at the minimum application rate?
- What additional management practices might be necessary after application?

Lime by-products in Oregon

Not all by-products are created equal. The most common lime by-products used in Oregon can be grouped into three general categories:

- High-CCE products derived from quick lime (calcium hydroxide)
- Wood ash
- Paper clarifier solids

High-CCE products derived from “quick lime” (calcium hydroxide) are the most useful liming by-products. They have CCE greater than 40 percent. Examples include sugar beet lime and other materials derived from precipitated quick lime. Quick lime is used in industrial processes such as paper making and sugar refining to achieve a high pH (12). The recovered lime from these factory processes consists mainly of carbonates (same active ingredient as aglime).

Beet lime and other high-value by-products are usually purchased from the factory by fertilizer dealerships and then custom applied to farm fields. These products are a reliable lime source. No significant product quality issues have been reported.

Wood ash (from hog fuel boilers or biomass-to-energy facilities) is available across the state. This product has a lime score of 2 to 20. Wood ash can vary considerably in composition and utility. Although called wood ash, it can contain residues of other materials that are burned together with forest by-products.

Some ash acts like low-grade activated charcoal, binding soil-active herbicides and making them ineffective in killing weeds. Burn temperature affects this property, known as herbicide sorption.

Chemical composition of ash varies depending on the origin of the ash within a facility. The highest concentration of trace element contaminants such as arsenic (As) and B are usually found in fly ash (from smokestack scrubbers).

Because of the nonuniformity and unpredictability of ash characteristics, caution is warranted when using wood ash. We recommend that you obtain a complete analysis, consult with those having experience with the ash, and evaluate product performance on limited acreage before using it for routine application.

Paper clarifier solids, sometimes called “paper sludge,” are a mixture of wood fiber, carbonates derived from quick lime, and inert materials. It is collected in settling basins (clarifiers) at mills producing cardboard or other paper products. The more wood fiber present, the lower the lime score. CCE is usually 10 to 20 percent. The organic matter content in paper solids (cellulose) is similar to that found in straw, and paper fiber has a high C:N ratio (greater than 100:1). Herbicide sorption (from added organic matter) has not been reported following paper solids application.

The major management problem related to paper solids application is providing sufficient and properly timed N for the first crop after application. As paper fiber decomposes in soil, the microbes performing decomposition compete with the crop for N. During the first growing season after paper solids application, extra N fertilizer is needed to compensate for N consumed by the decomposition process.

Because paper fiber degrades slowly, extra N fertilizer application is usually required in both fall and spring when growing fall-seeded winter annual crops such as annual ryegrass (for seed). Even with supplemental N fertilizer application, a reduction in first-year seed yield can occur because the timing of N availability to the grass seed crop is altered by the decomposing paper solids.

Microbial activity differs between fields and crop years; therefore, the decomposition rate of paper clarifier solids varies. Furthermore, each factory source of paper solids is unique in its rate of decomposition and its need for supplemental N fertilizer.

University field research to support appropriate N fertilizer recommendations following paper clarifier solids application is generally lacking. In most cases, N fertilizer rate and timing are based on grower experience. A single-year trial on Woodburn soil, with oats, determined that 1 to 3 lb N (from fertilizer)
was needed per dry ton of paper solids to compensate for N immobilization (Fasth and Karow, 1994, unpublished). In the second growing season after application, a paper solids application had no effect on grass seed crop N uptake (Fitzgerald et al., 1997).

High rates of paper solids application can be detrimental to crop establishment on poorly drained soils because the paper solids increase the water-holding capacity of soil. This problem has been most evident in fields without drainage tile where the water table is at the surface for extended periods in winter and spring.

Meadowfoam seems to be especially susceptible to stand loss in this situation. Some portions of annual ryegrass fields also had little or no seedling emergence, sometimes accompanied by a red slime on the soil surface. Red slime was an iron-reducing bacteria that flourished in anaerobic soil (without oxygen) containing large quantities of organic matter (from the paper solids).
Appendix B. State Regulation of Liming Products

**Oregon Department of Agriculture (ODA)**

The ODA Fertilizer Program inspects and registers lime products distributed in Oregon. Lime products must be registered with ODA before they can be distributed in Oregon. Lime products are monitored and regulated to provide:

- Uniform and accurate product labeling
- Assurance, through sampling and analysis, that products provide the nutrients and other benefits claimed
- Protection for Oregon's environment and natural resources from heavy metals and other contaminants

For questions about lime product regulation in Oregon, please contact:

Oregon Department of Agriculture Fertilizer Program
635 Capitol Street NE
Salem, OR 97301-2532
Phone: 503-986-4635
Fax: 503-986-4735
http://www.oregon.gov/ODA/PEST/Pages/fertilizer.aspx

**Oregon Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ)**

In addition to ODA, lime by-products derived from industrial manufacturing processes may be regulated by either the DEQ Solid Waste Division or the DEQ Water Quality Division. Lime by-products derived from an industry’s wastewater treatment system (e.g., clarifier solids) are regulated by the Water Quality Division. Lime by-products derived from other industrial processes (e.g., wood ash resulting from combustion of wood chips in a boiler) are regulated by the Solid Waste Division.
Even though the SMP test measures many more times the H concentration than do soil pH tests, the SMP value is usually only about 0.8 unit higher than soil pH. The higher value for the SMP measurements results from the 7.5 pH of the solution.

The typical difference between soil pH and SMP buffer pH is not seen in some soils, such as the Sifton series. The difference between soil pH and SMP buffer pH for this soil can be as small as 0.2 unit, and sometimes the buffer pH is lower than soil pH. Other soil series formed in mixtures of volcanic ash, such as the Parkdale and associated soils in the Hood River Valley, may behave similarly.

The relationship between soil pH and SMP buffer pH for the Sifton soil does not indicate that the SMP test inadequately measures lime need. This soil’s mineralogy differs from other western Oregon soils.

This difference increases both buffer capacity and lime requirement. The recommended rate of lime in Table 3 (page 6) is not economical. Use a lower rate of lime than that recommended by Table 3, concentrate it with limited mixing (shallow rather than deep disking), and monitor soil pH after application.

A small area of Sifton soils is found in Columbia, Multnomah, and Lane counties. The largest area, approximately 5,500 acres, is located in Marion County on high terraces in the Stayton Basin and along Mill Creek between Turner and Salem.

Sifton soils formed in gravelly alluvium containing volcanic ash in the upper part. They have a thick, black gravelly loam A horizon over dark brown gravelly loam subsoil.
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